

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheets of drawings include changes to Figs. 2 and 9. These sheets, which include Figs. 1-11, replace the original drawing sheets including Figs. 1-11. In Figs. 2 and 9, the Applicant has added brackets to the exploded views in those figures.

Attachment: Two Replacement Sheets
Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

REMARKS

The Applicant appreciates the time and consideration that the Examiner has provided in reviewing this application. By the above amendment, claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11 and 18 have been amended. Claim 1 has been canceled, without prejudice.

In the Office Action dated May 20, 2005, the Examiner objected to the drawings because figures 2 and 9 show exploded views, but did not contain a bracket. Accordingly, figures 2 and 9 have been amended to include a bracket and the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the objections to the drawings.

In the Office Action dated May 20, 2005, the Examiner objected to the abstract because of the language “One embodiment of the invention comprises” contained in the abstract. The Applicant has amended the abstract to remove the subject language and accordingly respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the objection to the Specification.


In the Office Action dated May 20, 2005, the Examiner indicated that claims 3, 5-8 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In light of the above amendments, the Applicant respectfully submits that claims 3, 5-8 and 10, and all claims depending therefrom are in condition for allowance. Indeed, the Applicant has rewritten claims 3, 5, 6, 7 and 10 in independent format and have addressed the § 112 issues by providing antecedent basis for the term “said portable device” (originally found in canceled base claim 1).

Similarly, claims 11-18 have been amended to address the § 112 issues by providing antecedent basis for the terms “said camera cellular phone” in claims 11 and 18. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully submits that claims 11-18 are also in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, and in view of the remarks set forth above, the Applicant respectfully submits that the application and the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests favorable consideration and the timely allowance of all pending claims. The Applicant respectfully submits that the above amendments have not added any new matter to the application. If, for any reason, the application and claims are not in condition for allowance, or any additional information is required, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (312) 701-8738. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees (or credit any overpayment) associated with this communication to our Deposit Account No. 13-0019. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 not accounted for above, such extension is requested and should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 
Douglas M. Eveleigh
Reg. No. 43,426

MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP
P.O. Box 2828
Chicago, IL 60690-2828
(312) 701-8738
Dated: November 21, 2005

10




Fig. 1

Added
Bracket

11




Fig. 9

1




Fig. 11

Fig. 10

Attorney Docket No. 04235141
Application No. 10/786,666
Amendment Dated: 11/21/05
Response to Office Action: 5/20/05
Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

